Appendix D - LOGFRAME

| PROJECT TITLE: | Mental Health in Post-conflict Colombia - Ways Ahead |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Long-term objective / impact | Improved mental health in post-conflict Colombia contributes to building a peaceful and democratic society |
| Immediate objectives / Work packages | Mental health is improved in vulnerable and stigmatized groups in conflict-ridden areas of Colombia through effective knowledge- and evidence-based focused interventions that respond to the needs and preferences of the population |

| Οι | tcome 1 | Outcome Indicator | Baseline | Midterm Milestone | Target | Assumptions |
|----|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | start 2018 | mid 2019 | end 2020 | |
| 1 | including the dynamics between stigma, social | populations has been conducted. Means of verification: Number of reports for local and national use, number of scientific articles, coverage in local media | datasets but they have not been compared and analyzed to the extent possible, and they are not specific for proposed intervention areas. | Reports from analysis of datasets. Complimentary population survey designed and carried out as well as qualitative studies | dynamics and mental health, analysis of existing data, results from population survey, results from qualitative research, synthesis of the information. At least 3 scientific articles. Results presented in partners' webpages and in at least 5 local media | It is assumed that project partners will have access to existing raw data - the Ministry of Health has confirmed that this is the case. The peace process in Colombia is still fragile, and new surges of violence may be seen - to mitigate safety risks, areas where data is to be collected will be carefully selected based on thorough feasibility and risk analyses. It is assumed that the population in the targeted areas will be willing to interact with researchers - researchers from Universidad Externado have a track record of intervening in conflict zones, and their experience will be drawn upon when designing and carrying out data collection. |
| | | | media coverage | opy of cubilificou dolorium | o analoso, mino to mospagos, mino torospico or rocal | |

| Outpu | t (to outcome 1) | Output Indicator | Baseline | Midterm Milestone | Target | Assumptions |
|-------|--|---|---|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | | | start 2018 | mid 2019 | end 2020 | |
| | Increased knowledge about the territorial distribution and characteristics of the vulnerable and stigmatized groups | use, number of scientific articles, coverage in local and | different datasets but they have not been compared and analyzed to | | A report exists that presents the results from the population survey. A report exists that synthesizes the information from the analysis of the already existing data and from the complementary population survey. Results have been presented in at least one scientific article submitted to a peer-reviewed journal. Results have been presented on partners' webpages and in at least 5 local and national media. | Please see assumptions above. |
| | | | Source: Reports, comedia coverage | opy of submitted scientific | c article, links to webpages, links to/copies of local | |
| | | use, number of | different datasets but they have not been compared and analyzed to | ' | A report exists that presents the results from the population survey. A report exists that presents the results from the qualitative study. A report exists that synthesizes the information from the analysis of the already existing data, from the complementary population survey and from the qualitative research. Results have been presented in at least one scientific article submitted to a peer-reviewed journal. Results have been presented on partners' webpages and in at least 5 local and national media. | Please see assumptions above. |
| | | | Source: Reports, comedia coverage | opy of submitted scientific | c article, links to webpages, links to/copies of local | |

| 1.3 | Increased knowledge | Number of reports for | Some data exist in | A literature review | A report exists that presents the results from the | Please see assumptions above. |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | about social dynamics | local and national | different datasets | exists about social | population survey. A report exists that presents the | |
| | shaping stigmatization | use, number of | but they have not | dynamics and mental | results from the qualitative study. A report exists that | |
| | including potential | scientific articles, | been compared | health interventions. A | synthesizes the information from the analysis of the | |
| | predictors for successful | coverage in local and | and analyzed to | report exists that | already existing data, from the complementary | |
| | mental health treatment | national media | the extent possible | compares and analyzes | population survey and from the qualitative research. | |
| | and community | | | data from different | A report exists that presents results from the | |
| | reintegration of | | | datasets. A | litterature survey. Results have been presented in at | |
| | vulnerable and | | | complimentary | least one scientific article submitted to a peer- | |
| | stigmatized groups | | | population survey has | reviewed journal. Results have been presented on | |
| | | | | been designed and | partners' webpages and in at least 5 local and | |
| | | | | carried out. Information | national media. | |
| | | | | has been collected | | |
| | | | | through qualitative | | |
| | | | | research methods | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Source: Reports, co | opy of submitted scientific | article, links to webpages, links to/copies of local | |
| | | | media coverage | | | |

| Outcome 2: | | Outcome Indicator | Baseline | Midterm Milestone | Target | Assumptions |
|------------|--|--|--|-------------------|--|---|
| | | | start 2018 | mid 2019 | end 2020 | |
| | has implemented innovative and evidence-based outreach interventions which effectively strengthen mental health in | implemented in 5 target areas. Means of verification: Number of areas and | interventions in mental health exist in Colombia, but none are specifically targeting the post-conflict context and none have been tested scientifically | | target areas. An M&E system has been designed and implemented. 25 % of participants have experienced at least some improvement in mental health and wellbeing or in perceptions regarding stigma. An evaluation report of interventions exists. One scientific article about results has been planned. | It is assumed that it will be possible to involve health staff at department and local level in the implementation of interventions - the project ideas have been presented in the <i>Unidad Sanitaria</i> in one proposed project area, Cauca, and were positively received. The peace process in Colombia is still fragile, and new surges of violence may be seen - to mitigate safety risks, intervention areas will be carefully selected based on thorough feasibility and risk analyses and on experience from the initial data collection process (outcome 1). It is assumed that the population in the targeted areas will be willing to talk about mental health with researchers and health staff - in the meeting in <i>Unidad Sanitaria</i> , representatives from civil society confirmed the need for mental health interventions, and in a meeting in <i>El Mecanismo de Monitoreo y Verificación</i> FARC members expressed their support to mental health interventions. Interventions will be planned with the involvement of the target groups to make sure that they are culturally and socially acceptable. |
| Outpu | Output (to outcome 2) Output Indicator | | Baseline | Midterm Milestone | Target | Assumptions |
| | | | start 2018 | mid 2019 | end 2020 | |

| 2.1 | interventions aiming to | population covered, mental health indicators in M&E | interventions in mental health exist in Colombia, but none are specifically targeting the post-conflict context and none have | based on results from studies in outcome 1, and project rationale and design are described in a report. 5 target areas have been identified. | Outreach interventions have been implemented in 5 target areas. At least 10 % of the target group has benefitted from the outreach interventions. s, M&E system, and pilot intervention evaluation | Please see assumptions above. |
|-----|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| 2.2 | | Existence of M&E system | up on mental health interventions | relevant indicators that can be used to assess course as well as impact of mental health interventions | The M&E system has been put in use and data are used to assess course and impact of interventions | It is assumed that it will be possible to develop a feasible M&E system and that data will be entered on a continuous basis to allow for follow-up and evaluation - The Colombian Ministry of Health and its local counterparts have a strong tradition for data collection and analysis, and the M&E system will be based on their experience and to the extent possible on data already being collected for other purposes so as to minimize data collection efforts. |
| | | | Source: M&E syste | m and pilot intervention e | valuation report | |
| 2.3 | interventions have been evaluated, and | Existence of evaluation report including recommendations | NA | NA | Pilot interventions have been evaluated. At least one scientific article is submitted. | It is assumed that there will be an interest from the Ministry of Health to include experience from the project in future health policy planning - since the Ministry of Health is involved throughout the project, it should secure that the recommendations developed in the project are feasible for the purpose. |
| | | | Source: M&E syste | m and pilot intervention e | valuation report, outline for scientific article | |

| Outco | me 3: | Outcome Indicator | Baseline | Midterm Milestone | Target | Assumptions |
|-------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | | start 2018 | mid 2019 | end 2020 | |
| 3 | among project partners has been strengthened | in the project. Means of verification: Number of local health staff who have participated actively and report improved knowledge, number of meetings in the project steering group, and number of studies (outcome 1) in which the different | national and local level but needs improvement | project steering group is active. All project partners participate actively in project activities | participated actively in data collection, and in development and implementation of interventions. The project steering group has held at least quarterly meetings during the course of the project. All 3 partners have participated actively in project activities. | It is assumed that all partners participate actively in the project fulfilling their assigned tasks and roles and being willing to learn from each other - the partners met in July of 2017 to prepare the project, and all meetings were held in a constructive and positive atmosphere that showed the different research strenghts of the partners, allowed for partners to learn from each other and also from invited guests from potential collaborators to the project. It is assumed that local health staff and other relevant actors will collaborate in the project - since the Ministry of Health is actively engaged in the project it is expected that they can push for local involvement. |
| Outpu | t(to outcome 3) | Output Indicator | Baseline | Midterm Milestone | Target | Assumptions |
| | | | start 2018 | mid 2019 | end 2020 | |
| 3.1 | providers have gained | | Knowledge about data handling among local health professionals is limited | participated actively in | 3 in each area) have participated actively in data collection, and in development and implementation of interventions | It is assumed that local health care providers and staff are willing and able to engage with the project and can apply what they learn - project areas will be elected where the <i>Unidad Sanitaria</i> is ready to support project interventions thereby allowing staff to spend time on it. |
| | | | Source: M&E syste | | | |

| private research institutions and its | number of studies (outcome 1) in which the Ministry of Health has participated | experience in working evidence- based and in engaging with private research | The project steering group is established and has had at least quarterly meetings since project start. The Ministry has participated actively in project activities. | or on skype 4 times yearly during the course of the project. The Ministry has participated actively in the analysis of existing datasets and in the design, implementation, and results analysis of the | It is assumed that the Ministry of Health participates actively in the project and engages with the other project partners - the Ministry has participated actively in the formulation of the project and has shown its ability and interest to engage with and learn from other relevant institutions, also institutions outside of the health sector. |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | Source: Minutes fro | om steering group meeting | gs + sources stated under outcome 1 | |
| institutions on the use of research results, its capacity in mixed- | number of studies (outcome 1) in which the Universidad Externado has | some experience in engaging in partnership with public institutions. Experience in | The project steering group is established and has had at least quarterly meetings since project start. The University has participated actively in project activities. | project. The University has participated actively in the design, implementation, and results analysis of the population survey and in the qualitative research including report and article writing, and in the | It is assumed that the Universidad Externado participates actively in the project and engages with the other project partners - the University has participated actively in the formulation of the project and has shown its ability and interest to engage with and learn from relevant institutions, also institutions outside of the university sector. |
| | | Source: Minutes fro | om steering group meeting | gs + sources stated under outcome 1 | |

| 3.4 | Dignity has increased | Number of meetings | Dignity has | The project steering | The project steering group has met either physically | It is assumed that Dignity will play an active and leading |
|-----|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | international research | in the project steering | international | group is established | or on skype 4 times yearly during the course of the | role in the project and that sufficient time is allocated for |
| | experience and ability to | group attended, | research | and has had at least | project. Dignity has participated actively in the | the principal researcher and other researchers to do so - |
| | transform results of | number of studies | experience, but | quarterly meetings | literature reviews, in the analysis of existing datasets, | in Dignity time will be allocated according to project |
| | needs assessment | (outcome 1) in which | this project is the | since project start. | in the design and results analysis of the population | budget and time allocation needs. |
| | surveys into national | Dignity has | first in which a | Dignity has participated | survey and the qualitative research including report | |
| | intervention strategies | participated | partnership will be | actively in project | and article writing, and in the dissemination of results | |
| | and interventions | | made with both a | activities. | | |
| | | | private research | | | |
| | | | institution and a | | | |
| | | | government | | | |
| | | | institution | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Source: Minutes fro | om steering group meeting | gs + sources stated under outcome 1 | |